G R S IX 5]

Preserve for 24/4/18

Previous references

Mel 61-17 (108 + 116) 1917-18 (Mem l)

File No 87-17

Serial Nos. 27, 35, 51, 53, 57 & 58

Later references

Rel. 171-2 (2, 3 & 4/18)

R 61-17 SR 108 & 116

Mel 1-30 (13 26)

V/

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 27, 35, 51, 53, 57 & 58

Part B for December 1917

SUBJECT.

Bangalore City Improvements

8(27) From the President, Mal Council, Bangalore City
365 Dt 22-3-1972
C.J
Solicit sanction to the resolution of the City Improvement Committee of the Municipal Council approving of the Scheme for improving the existing Main drain at an Estimated Cost of Rs 6,81,000 laid of the Scheme sanctioned vide Govt Order No 8296 Dt 26/11/17 Rel III-15-37

8(58) To To the Chairman City Improvements Committee
No. 866 83-5

G R S III—1] 1917-18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mul)

NOTES

File No. 87-17

FILE HEADING

Bangalore City Improvements

From

The President, Mund Council, B'lore City

No 365/CJ Dated 22nd/25th March 1918 Received 30th March 18

Diary No 24440	Enclos Encl as per margin of this letter Spare Copies	Serial No 27

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Solicits sanction to the resolutions of the City Improvement Committee & the Mund Council approving of the scheme for improving the Existing Fish City Mund drawn at an estimated cost of Rs 60,846 instead of the scheme sanctioned in G.O. No 8296 Mul III-15-37 dated 26th June 1917

NOTES AND ORDERS

Mund. III-15 pp 22, 24, 34, 35, 36-37, 5 June 1917 & Mul 53-16, pp. 109, 117-118 are put up

2 Draft G.O. sanctioning the present scheme & another draft notification with drawing and declaration notified in June 1917 are subt.

MOM AWK 3/4/1918

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 1212—GPB—20,00 | 15-11 16

Serial No

3 Under the new arrangement, the improvement will be carried out at a less cost

Revised

3- Please note how this is dealt with in the revised up to date programme sent up by the Board yesterday & resubmit.

Sub?

4 An estimate of Rs 61,000 for improving existing main drain near the Avenue Road - very nearly the amount of estimate in file - has been included in the revised programme.

Revised

A 5 Resolution No 617-20 dated 3 9 1918 passing orders on the question of financing the Bangalore City Improvement Works is put up

Revised

NOTE—Contin sheet

WD A 1769—GPE—60 000—20-3 18—3

G R S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 87 of 1917

Serial No

6 The estimate may be sanctioned as on the draft- q.v. put up - (Sewan)

7 Will the Revenue Secretary be good enough to get this and all similar estimates technically scrutinized by the Chief Engineer before submission for sanction?

8 Noted -

9 to W. Please take further action accordingly -

Draft to Chief Engr in forwarding Estimate

10 A draft letter to the Chief Engr is submitted

11 Issue

35 To The Chief Engineer & Mysore

No. 7078 dt 19 6 1918

Forwards for scrutiny & return the estimate submitted together with three enclosures -

51 From the same Officer

No 11133 dt 27 9 1918

States in reply that the object of diverting the main drain is not apparent, that the

NOTE—Contn sheet

the drain was widened and well graded at considerable expense to Govt and it is no where shewn that it is not acting properly; that as the Town Hall + Municipal offices are proposed to be built elsewhere + the drain can be covered to the necessary extent for the extension of market & as are other contemplated improvements of the city are affected by the drain continuing to remain as it is, he is opposed in favour of the proposed diversion but if the diversion is essential the cost of the work as designed runs up to Rs 1,18,882/- which it may be cut down to Rs 60,000/- if certain Electrical arch designed intermediate prices are introduced and slab stones used for covering.

12 Refs. - para 7. of the notes.

13 Points for order are:-

(1) as to whether estimates may be sanctioned.

(2) Whether acqn of properties already notified may be withdrawn as the question of total diversion has now been abandoned.

Rec'd 8/11/16 Returned 8/11/16

Rec'd 8/11/16 Returned 8/11/16

8/11/16.

Recd

W.D. A 1753—G.P.E.—60 000—30-5-16—5.

G R. S III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 87 of 1917

Serial No	
	Lab[2] for perusal.
	The opinion of the M. Council on the remarks and suggestions of the Chief Engineer will be obtained and the case submitted again.
	Dewan 9 11 18
2483 9 11 18	
	15 Mpo. Engr. 25.11.18
	16 Draft-Earliest 25/11
	17) A draft letter is submitted 25/11/18 18 Done 25/11
53	Letter No 6709 dt the 30th Nov 1918 to the President, Bangalore City Munl Council. Forwards a copy of PC 27 and calls for the opinion of the Munl Council on the remarks and suggestions of the Chief Engr
57	Letter No 350/C.I dt 17.3.20 from the Chairman, City Improvement Committee

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

2nd File No 87 of 1917

6

Serial No

Commissioner, Bangalore.

Submits for early sanction plans and a revised estimate amounting to Rs 82,155/ approved by the City Improvement Committee, the Municipal Council and the Chief Engr for the improvements of the City Main drain near the City Vegetable market, so that the improvement of the drain in the market area may be taken up and executed along with the construction of the market which is in progress

2 It is reported that that the Chief Engr, after personal inspection and reexamination of the question in detail, was convinced of the necessity for the proposed improvement and approved of the revised estimate with a slight modification in the constructional details.

3 The estimate now submitted provides for the following items of work :-

	Rs
1) Removing the existing kerb in the main drain	5,764
2) Regrading the portion of the drain to secure better fall	8,064
3) Covering the portion of the drain which passes thro' the market	42,600
4) Compensation + Statutory allowance at 15% for the properties acquired under G.O no 7299-301 Rul 64-17-116 dt 29.6.17	26,737
	Rs 82,155

NOTE—Contn sheet

+ also

A

6984

, R. S III-2}

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mel File No. 87 of 1917

Serial No

7

2) The memo accompanying the estimate fully explains the nature and urgency of the proposed improvements.

I submitted for orders whether the revised estimate may now be sanctioned.

Yh
30-3-20 (Rev Secy)

WAN'S OFFICE
APR 7 1920
No. 6984

Yh
8-4-20

(Dewan)

Yh
7-4-20

3) This may be forwarded unofficially to the Engineer for favour of remarks

9-4-20

Yh 9/4

4) Forwarded to the Chief Engineer of Mysore for favour of remarks

By order
H V Ramaswamy
for Rev Secretary

Yh
10-4-20

4. C 117 of 19-20
15-4-20

h-C-No 200/20 W D
01-16/4/1920

NOTE—Contn sheet

M. S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 87 of 1917

8

Serial No.

Para 17 Returned, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

This 6th August 1920

The estimate may be approved. Slight modifications as detailed below may also be ordered to be carried out during execution

1. The height of the side walls in the portion of drain which is proposed to be covered up, may, if --- possible, be raised by a foot or so, to give more --- water way to the drain.

2 The bearing slabs should be 1½' x 6" instead of 1' x 4" over steel beams. The covering slabs -- over girder should be nicely dressed so that they ma have uniform bearing.

3. Carbels stones under steel beams in the side walls should be continuous and 6" thick all along the drain with 6" projection, instead of 4" thick as provided only under beams. This will distribute the load more equally

4 The section of side walls of the drain in the deviation may be, as per existing one, 1½' at top and 2' at bottom above floor level and 2½' wide at ---- foundation

5 The girders should be boxed with concrete so that the metal may not be acted upon by gases

Bangalore 28th August 1920

R V Sundaram

FOR CHIEF ENGINEER OF M[illegible]

T R S /26-8

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Rec'd here on the day 28/8/20

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No. 97 of 1917

Serial No

28 Submitted for orders with reference to para 25 Supra

Ch

30.8.20 28 Submitted with reference to para 25 supra - The estimate may be approved - Subject to the modifications proposed by the Ch Engineer

Sub-Divisional Officer Seeta

WAN'S OFFICE

on SEP 1 1920

No. 2305

31-8

Dewan)

30 1) Has the Tech - checked by the Sanitary Board and the Municipality (the Executive) is competent to take decision on the technical matters

31 Aug

1) From the correspondence it is seen that the estimate has not been before the Central Sanitary Board.

2) The expenditure is to be met from the City Improvement Funds. The Municipality have agreed.

3) This work is considered to be

A

G.O. 317-20/Ind

17.32 99.5.18

(Note—Contn. sheet in the statement attached)

10

Serial No

F

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on SEP 15 1920
Reg No 2612

absolutely necessary in connection with the Construction of the General Market which is under progress. (Vide Explanatory note accompanying the estimate in question. The question of dealing with the drainage scheme by Sections has been ~~suggested~~ referred to in para 4 of E & ao 5682-7/Rev 1-19-29 14th Novr 1919. Besides, this relates only to the question of improving the existing main drain

13.9.20
rel 32 Ch Secy
13.9

13.9
Dewan) As I think the project must be revised will it be
worth while, I may be obliged
if circulated

16.9.20

Ch Secy
Noted Complts
17.9.20

Circulate
20/9/20

I agree. This matter has been pending over 2½ years yet the file can through my hands now for the first time

28.9.20

10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(G R S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 87 of 1917

28 As soon as circulation is complete and the opinion of the Council is recorded the file will be forwarded to the Secretary in the Department concerned for transmission if need be to the Financial Secretary under Standing Orders and for submission to the Dewan or Member in charge

29 Circulation complete

Forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government under Rule 23 of the Subsidiary Rules of Council Business

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on OCT 26 1920
Reg No. 3414

NOTE —Cotu Sheet

WD—807—GPB—1,000—19 6 19

12

(For Disposal by Circulation)

No. C. 204

1920. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Council Matter

SUBJECT

43/Estimate for improving the main drain of the Bangalore City, near the City vegetable market.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

By Order,

22nd September 1920.

C S Balasundaram
Chief Secretary to Government

Extraordinary Member of Council

8 9 **First Member of Council** 4.3.9

Second Member of Council Opinion recorded
Revd
28 9 20

Third Member of Council No
Revd
7/10

13

Submitted to His Highness

***

44 In Government Order No 5654-6/M1 111-15-35 dated the 1st March 1917 administrative sanction was accorded to the diversion of the City main drain by re-alignment from the bridge on Maramat Krishna Rao's street to run along Malavalli Rama Rao's street at an estimated cost of Rs 41,235 and detailed estimates were called for from the Municipal President

45 2. The detailed estimate accordingly received from the President was sanctioned by Government in their order No 8296/M1.111-17-37 dated the 26th June 1917

46 3 Owing, however, to the selection of the maidan opposite the City Vegetable Market as the site for the Town Hall and Municipal Offices, the City Improvement Committee resolved at their meeting held on the 18th July 1917 to abandon the above scheme of the diversion of the City main drain and recommended instead improvements to the existing main drain by (1) removing the kink near the Avenue Road, (2) regrading it and (3) covering portions of it that lie within the area of the New Market and for a short distance beyond on the east of the Avenue Road crossing, at a cost of Rs 60,816

47 4 This scheme was referred to the Chief Engineer for favour of scrutiny on the 19th June 1918 In his letter No.11133 dated the 27th September 1918, he expressed his opinion that the proposed deviation of the main drain at such a great cost was not necessary, as the Town Hall and

14

and Municipal Offices were proposed to be built elsewhere He was further of opinion that if the deviation was considered to be essential, the work, as designed, could not be carried out at the cost noted by the City Improvement Committee and that it would run up to Rs 1,18,862, but that this could be reduced to Rs 60,000, if intermediate piers could be introduced in place of the costly elliptical arch designed and slab stones used for covering

47 5. The matter was referred to the Municipal Council for opinion This was again duly considered by the City Improvement Committee, and the Municipal Council and also again by the Chief Engineer The Chief Engineer after personal inspection of the spot having been convinced of the necessity for the proposed improvement, approved of the estimate for the work with slight modifications in the constructional details The estimate accordingly revised for Rs.82,155 including the cost of acquisition was approved by both the City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council.

V

4 of office Notes

W

49 6 The question was considered by circulation in Council and the Members of Council and myself are in favour of sanctioning the project which may be graciously approved

10 of office Notes

29-Oct-1920

15

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject

50 Estimate amounting to Rs 82,155 for improving the main drain of the Bangalore City near the Vegetable Market

549

57 ***Order***

~~KRW~~

1/11/20

57 Rev. Sec. ~~to~~
Secy

C. 204

J R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | ML File No. 97 of 1919.

Serial No

To Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders ~~before~~ after ~~issue of orders.~~

"By order,"

C Balasundaram Ayy
Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Govt.,
Department.

140/5/11 First Member of Council.

2643-87/8/11/20 Second Member of Council.

10/4/20

3046/12/4/20 Third Member of Council.

To Rev Secy with compliments

5-4-20

NOTE—Contn sheet

(11—70 000- 17 12-15

G R. S III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat | ul File No. 87 of 1917.

Serial No

58 [illegible] is subd for appl

[illegible]
19.11.20

[illegible] 25-11

87 The Secy)
58 2nd member) [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
27 11 20

3060 - 299
30 11 20

59 [illegible] approved

[illegible]
1 12 20

[illegible]

.59. G. O. No. 26683-68 [illegible]

Chairman
Sanctions the revised estimate in question.

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1140

B M 104]

Jul 31-17-27

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY, DATED 22.3. 1918

18

FROM

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, Esq., C.I.E.,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~Commercial~~ Revenue Department,
Bangalore

SIR,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 3296/M.111-15-37 dated the 26th June 1917 sanctioning the estimate for the diversion of the City Main drain, I have the honor to state that in the light of the altered circumstances consequent on fixing the maidan opposite the City Vegetable market as the site for the Town Hall and Municipal Offices, the City Improvement Committee in their meeting held on 18th July 1917 resolved - copy of resolution enclosed - to abandon the scheme of total diversion of the City main and recommended the improvement of the existing main drain by (1) removing the kink near the Avenue Road, (2) regrading it, (3) and covering portions of it as lie within the area of the new market and for a short distance on the east of Avenue road crossing.

2. The plans and estimate for the improvement of the main drain together with the valuation statement of properties to be acquired were approved by the City Improvement Committee on 21st January 1918 - vide. resolution No. 13 - copy enclosed.

3. The scheme was placed before the Municipal Council in a spe-

cial

(1 B-2 000—20-5-1)

1918 JAN

From

The Honorary President
Municipal Council
Bangalore City

To

1905/13

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated 22nd
Despatched 25th } March 18.

Received

General No 365
No

Enclosure No

Enclosures

Report
General Abstract
Detail + abstract estimate of the Construction of main Drain with Calculation Sheet
Survey plan
Sections longitudinal and cross
Extract of city map
Copies of resolutions

Stating that as the direct of the main Drain has been abandoned owing to the altered circumstances consequent on fixing the market opposite the City Vegetable market as the site for the Town Hall and Municipal offices, fresh schemes now submitted therewith may be sanctioned The notification no 8041/Mul. -16-118 dated the 24th June 1917. Sanctioning the acquisition properties may be withdrawn

special meeting on 16th March 1918 and was approved - vide copy of resolution herein enclosed.

4. I request that you may be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the scheme now submitted, in lieu of the one already sanctioned in Government Proceedings quoted above. The Notification No 8041/Ml.53-16-118 dated the 21st June 1917 sanctioning the acquisition of properties for the total diversion of the main drain may be kindly withdrawn

5. The declaration statement of the properties required for this scheme will be submitted through the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Honorary President

C.R.G.

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY.

Proceedings of the City Improvement Committee, in the meeting held on Wednesday the 18th July 1917.

P R E S E N T.

1. Dewan Bahadur Rajasabhabhushana K.P.Puttanna Chetty, Esq., C.I.E , Chairman.
2. R V Sundaram Iyer Esq , B.A.,B.C F , Deputy Chief Engineer.
3. T.G.Lakshman Rao, Esq, President, City Municipality,Mysore.
4. S.H.Lakshaminarasappa, Esq , B. ARCH., Assistant Engineer, Mysore.
5. J.E.A D'Cruz, Esq , B.C.E., A.M.P.P.I., (Eng) Executive Engineer, City Drainage Project, Bangalore.
6. T.Ramachendra Rao,Esq , B.A., A.C E., Municipal Engineer, Bangalore.
7. Mandi Hariyanna, Esq ,
8. Yejaman Basappa, Esq.,

A B S E N T.

1. R. Subba Rao, Esq , M.R , C.M , L.S.Sc., Secretary
2. F. Fernandes, Esq , L.R.C.P ,& S., L.F.P.&S., Health Officer, Bangalore.
3. P.G.D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L., Secretary to Government for Education and Agriculture, etc , Bangalore.
4. D.B.Ramachendra Mudaliar, Esq.,
5. B.K.Garudachar, Esq ,
6. H.Ramaiya, Esq.,

---

6 Government Proceedings No 3206/M1 111-15-37 dated the 26th June 1917, sanctioning (1) a general estimate of cost amounting to Rs 72,367 including compensation for the properties to be acquired and (2) a detailed estimate of construction only for Rs 41,235 in connection with the diversion of the City main drain

Resolution - Recorded Since the site of the Town Hall and Municipal Offices has been changed, the diversion of the City main drain which was intended for clearing the site for locating the said-building will not be necessary But the improvement

22

improvement of the existing drain by removing the kink near the Avenue Road, regrading and covering portions of it, estimated to cost Rs. 35,079 excluding compensation, is necessary and is approved

---

Sd/- K P Puttanna Chetty,
C h a i r m a n

---

* C O P Y *

For Hon President

C.R.G

22

improvement of the existing drain by removing the kink near the Avenue Road, regrading and covering portions of it, estimated to cost Rs. 35,079 excluding compensation, is necessary and is approved.

Sd/- K.P. Puttanna Chetty,
Chairman

---

* C O P Y *

For Hon President

C.R.O.

13

BANGALORE CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE.

Proceedings of the City Improvement Committee in their Meeting held on Monday the 21st January 1915.

P R E S E N T.

Dewan Bahadur Rajasabhabhushana K.Srikrishnamma Chetty, Esq., C.I.E.,
(Chairman)

R. Subba Rao, Esq., M.B., C.M., Lunatic, Secretary.

I.C.D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L., Secretary to Government, Agriculture and Education Departments, Bangalore.

R.V.Sundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A., M.C.E., Deputy Chief Engineer, Mysore Government, Bangalore.

J.L.M. D'Cruz, Esq., B.C.E., A.M.I.M.E., Executive Engineer, City Drainage Project, Bangalore.

T.Ramachendra Rao, Esq., B.A., A.C.G.I., Municipal Engineer, Bangalore.

M. Ramaiya, Esq.,

Kandi Muriamma, Esq.,

L.N.Garuda Char, Esq.,

M.Venkatarangaiengar, Esq.,

Yajaman Basappa, Esq.,

A B S E N T.

Srinivasacharya Cadambi, Esq., B.A., L.C.E., Chief Engineer, Mysore Government, Bangalore.

P.Fernandez, Esq., L.F.C.P. & S., L.M.P.H. & S., Health Officer, Bangalore.

A.V.Venkatramana Rao, Esq., L.C.E., President, Mysore City Municipality.

M.Lakshmiaraccappa, Esq., B. ARCH., Assistant Engineer, Mysore.

D.B.Ramachendra Mudaliar, Esq.,

---

13 Plans and estimates for easing the kink of main drain near Market together with the valuation statement of properties to be acquired

Resolution - Approved

---

* C O P Y *

For Hon President

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY.

No. XIX.

Proceedings of a special general meeting of the City Municipal Bangalore, held in the Council Chamber, City Municipal Office on Saturday the 16th March 1918.

P r e s e n t .

Rajam Bahadur Raja Sabha Bhushana

K.P. Puttanna Chetty, Esq., C.I.E., Honorary President.

M. Subba Rao, Esq., M.B., C.M., L.S.Sc., Vice President.

F. Fernandes, Esq., L.R.C.P. & S., L.F.P. & S., Health Officer.

Revd. D. A Reen.	C.V.Narasimhiengar, Esq.,B.A., B.L.
B. Adeppa, Esquire.	B.V. Ramaswami Chetty, Esq., B.A.
Father P.M. Briand.	B. Chinnaswami Chetty, Esquire.
B. Usman Khan, Esquire.	M. Basavayya, Esq., B.A., B.L.
B.K. Garuda Char, Esquire.	M. Subbayya, Esquire, B.A.
K. Ramaiya, Esquire.	K.S. Krishna Iyer, Esquire, B.A.

A b s e n t .

B.V. Gundappa, Esquire.	B.B. Ramachandra Mudaliar, Esquire.
M. Abbas Khan, Esquire.	M. Ramachandra Rao Sindhe, Esquire.
G. Siddaveerappa, Esquire.	M.K. Krishna Murthi Rao, Esquire.
[illegible], Esquire.	S. Venkatrama Iyer, Esq., B.A.
S. Venkatrangiengar, Esq.	B. Venkatramayya, Esquire, B.A.

Mr. J.E.A.D'Cruz, Executive Engineer, City Drainage Project, was also present.

---

3 Revised plans and estimates prepared by the Executive Engineer City Drainage Project, for improving the main drain by removing the [illegible] near the avenue road, regrading it and covering the portions which may lie within the new market area and a little beyond it in supercession of those approved in Government orde No 5654-6/M1 111-17-35 of the 1st March 1917.

Resolution - Approved

C O P Y *

[signature]

r lon Presi en .

14 16

G R S VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Improving the City Main drain-

" " " " "

Diary No of letter replied to 2440

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(IV)
, *the* 191

Date of issue 19.6.18

Signed by for Ag. P. Secretary

Copy of letter No 365/C9 dt 22nd/25th March
1918 from the Resident
Report
Survey plan
Sections, - longitudinal & cross
Extract of City Map

Mil Section
File No 87-17
Serial No 35

Gen's 5
No 7078
mil 87-17-35
07/9/18

To
The Chief Engineer
of Mysore-

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for favour of scrutiny & early return a detail & abstract & estimate amounting to Rs 60,816 for improving the main drain of the Bangalore City together with enclosures as noted in the margin-

I have &c
for Secretary
14/6

12 6 18

over

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WDS—CPE—0020—15-11.16

Mysore Public Works Department.

No. P 11133

Central Office,

Dated Bangalore, 27th September 1918.

From

S. Cadambi, Esq.,

Chief Engineer for Irrigation,

Bangalore

REVENUE. 2 OCT 1918

To

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department,

Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your No.7078/M1.87-17-35 dated 19th June 1918 forwarding for scrutiny the estimate amounting to Rs 60,816 for improving the Main Drain of the Bangalore City, I have the honour to state that the object of deviating the main drain as proposed by the Municipality is not at all apparent.

2. This portion of the drain was widened and well graded by the Government at considerable expense, and it is nowhere shown that it is not acting properly. To make up for the kink in the drain extra width was given to the drain at the point. Further, the fall proposed for the new drain is 1 in 660 whereas the existing drain has a fall of 1 in 650 throughout. If the bed has become flatter in some places, it may be due to the settlement of the bed slabs which can be easily rectified.

3. So unless the existence of the drain is found decidedly in-convenient for other improvements in contemplation there, I am not in favour of deviating it at such an enormous outlay.

4. Now that the Town Hall and Municipal offices are proposed to be built elsewhere, the existence of this drain will affect no improvements. If the market is extended, the drain can if necessary, be covered to the necessary extent.

5. If, however, the deviation is considered essential, the work as designed cannot be carried out at the cost noted. The cost will run up to Rs 1,18,862 - vide pencil corrections in the estimates.

6. The elliptical arch designed will be very costly and moreover unnecessary Intermediate piers can be introduced instead and slab stones used for covering, in which case the cost may not amount to more than Rs 60,000.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

RWS

R. S. VI—G/32]

T Re Improving the Main drain, Bangalore.

No. of letter replied to .....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191

Date of issue 30-11-18

read by Mr B T. Kesava Rao

Section M
File No 27-17
Serial No 53

Gen 3
No 6709 dt 30/11/18

To

The President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore-

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 365/C9 dt 22nd March 1918 re improvements to the City Main Drain, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No D 11133 dt 27th Sept 1918 from the Chief Engr for Irrigation stating for reasons given that he is not in favour of deviating from

over

I am directed by the Board of Trustees of the Port of London Authority to request you to obtain at a very early date the opinion of the Board of Trade on the remarks and suggestions of the Chief Engineer. The estimate is herewith returned.

I have &c.

Secretary

The Secretary
Board of Trade

25th/18

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 28—GPB—60,000—15-11 16

Chairman, City Improvement Committee,

OFFICE OF THE [illegible]

No. 3/3 C 3

Bangalore City, dated 17th March 1920

Encls 7

3D

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K P PUTTANNA CHETTY ESQUIRE, C I E ,
Chairman, City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore

To

B. RAMASWAMAIYA, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 6709 /M1 87-17-53 dated 30-11-19, addressed to the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore calling for the opinion of the Municipal Council on the remarks and suggestions of the Chief Engineer regarding the proposed improvements to the City Main Drain near the City Vegetable Market, I have the honour to state that the subject was again duly considered by the City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council and the Chief Engineer was requested to inspect the spot and reconsider the matter

2 The Chief Engineer examined the question in detail which consists of 3 parts, viz -

(1) Removing the existing kink in the Main Drain just to the west of the Avenue Road to prevent obstruction to the free flow of sewage and storm water and consequent accummulation of silt

(2) Regrading portions of the drain to secure better bed-fall for the drain

(3) Covering that portion of the Main Drain which falls within the area of the New Market

3 As all the above improvements tend to promote the convenience and sanitation of the New Market, the Chief Engineer was convinced

253^1 C 104

191

From The Chairman
City Improvement Committee
Bangalore.

To The Secretary
to Government
Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Dated } 17th March 1920
Despatched }
Received

General No 350 C
No

Enclosure No Seven



Submitting for sanction estimate amounting to Rs 2,155 for improving main drain near the City Vegetable market

Mr Gr

GPB—50,000—23-8-12

convinced of the necessity for improvement, approved of the estimate with a slight modification in the constructional details He suggested that the arched covering of the drain which was costl may be replaced by slabbed covering supported on girders

4 The estimate was revised accordingly and was approved by the City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council on 14-2-1920 and 1-3-1920 respectively I enclose copies of their resolutions

5 I submit herewith the plans and revised estimate for favour of early sanction of Government so that the Improvement of the drain in the market area may be taken up and executed along with the construction of the market which is in progress The acquisition of properties required for this scheme has already been approved by Government and notified in the Mysore Gazette vide notification 7299-301/M1 61-17-116 dated 29-6-1918

I have the honour to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

Chairman,
City Improvement Committee

A

I

Enclosures

Copy of resolution no 4 of C I C dated 14-2-20

1 Copy of resolution no 2 of Municipal Council dated 1-3-20

1 Revised estimate for Rs 82,155

1 Report is accompanying the estimate

-1 plans -

(a) Sheet no 1 block plan showing the drain

(b) Sheet no 2 Longl Section

1 Sheet no 3 Section of the proposed Th.L covering of the drain

G R - VI-KL

SUBJECT

33

Diary No of letter replied to 69-04-19

Section Rul
File No 87-17
Serial No. 38

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Rul)

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

, the 191

Read again :-

Date of issue 6-12-1920

Signed by Ramaswamy

Q. & no. 5654-6/Rul 111-15-3 dt 15th March 1917 according administrative sanction to the diversion of the Bangalore City main or main by re-alignment from the tirupathi or Ramanathapura Krishna Raja Sagar at an estimated cost of Rs 41,235/ and calling for detailed estimates from the Executive Engineer

Q. & no. 9296/Rul 111-15-3 dt 26th June 1917 sanctioning the detailed estimate amounting to Rs 41,235 according to the Executive Engineer

May this be
Printed ? Ramanathapura Stud
treated as a standing order ?
published in the Gazette
English Part ?
translated ?
v placed at the disposal of the press ?
vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

3. Letter No. 365/C. 9 Dt. March 1918 from the President the Bangalore City Muni Council, stating that, owing to the selection the Cauvery offside the city-able market as the site for Town Hall and Muni Offices, the City Improvement Committee resolved to abandon the above scheme for the diversion of the by main or cain refd to above and recommended instead improvements to the existing main road by (a) widening the Fort near the Avenue Road, (b) the widening & the Fort Lion withdrawn the area the new market and for and next distance beyond on the East of the Avenue Road Crossing by a Cost of Rs 60,816/

4 Correspondence ending with letter No. 350/C. 9 Dt. 6th March from the Chairman, City Improvement Committee, Bangalore, stating that the Chief Engr after personal inspection of the spot has ~~been~~ ~~with~~ ~~provinces of the~~

V Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744 -GRB

A 6904-G Cantt

Jul 84-(7)

(G R S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

((Continuation sheet draft form)

has agreed that work may be carried out and the necessary ~~for the proposed improvement~~ ~~amount be approved at an estimated~~ ~~prepared by an Executive Engineer~~ estimate for the work with slight modifications in constructional details and submitting for early sanction a revised estimate amounting to Rs 82,155/- (including the cost of acquisition) required for the ~~Cantonment Board~~ sanctioned by Govt. so that the proposed improvement of the drain may be taken up and executed along with the construction of the new market which is in progress

Encls

Plans 1/12/20

Order No. R. 6683-6

The revised estimate amounting to Rs 82,155/- is sanctioned.

Ce
27/11/-
Rev Secy.

To. The President Cantonment Board
Ambala
(with papers)



GPB—15 000—18-11 11